lease type a plus sign (+)



PTO/SB/21 (08-00)
Approved for use through 10/31/2002. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Re Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

17

Attorney Docket Number | S-30287A

TRANSMITTAL FORM

(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)

Total Number of Pages in This Submission

Application Number	09/554,941	2)	
Filing Date	May 22, 2000	TEC	IVED
First Named Inventor	Howard Atkinson		2 2002
Group Art Unit	1638 TEC		
Examiner Name	Anne Kubelik	TOENTE	R 1600/2900

ENCLOSURES (check all that apply)									
	d cclaration(s) Request nent Request ure Statement iority	Assignment Papers (for an Application) Drawing(s) Licensing-related Papers Petition Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address Terminal Disclaimer Request for Refund CD, Number of CD(s) Remarks	After Allowance Communication to Group Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences Appeal Communication to Group (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) Proprietary Information Status Letter X Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below): 1. Reply to Restriction, Election Requirement 2. Exhibits A-C						
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT									
Firm Syngenta Biotéchnology, Inc. or Individual name J. Timothy Meigs - Registration No. 38,241									
Signature	11 Juno	the Mars							
Date	/ 11 Ja	inuary 200Z							

	<u> </u>	Ш	H	<u>UA</u>	<u> 1 E</u>	<u>: U</u>	<u> 구</u>	M	IΑ	<u> IILIN</u>	C	Ĺ				
	_		_					_				_	_			
			***					- .		_			_	_	 	

Typed or printed name Signature Date

Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 0.2 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231.



CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this paper (along with any paper referred to as being attached or enclosed) is being deposited with the United States Postal Service on the date shown below with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231.

Gail C. Galfin

C. Chiffes

RECENT

JAN 2 2 2002

TECH CENTER 1600/2900

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of

Atkinson et al.

Appl. No. 09/554,941

Filed: May 22, 2000

For: Proteinase Inhibitor Fusion Proteins

Art Unit: 1638

Examiner: Anne Kubelik

Atty Docket: S-30287A

REPLY TO RESTRICTION/ELECTION REQUIREMENT

Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

In the Office Action mailed December 14, 2001, the claims were restricted into three groups:

Group I, claims 1, 3-13, and 16-17;

Group II, claims 2 and 14; and

Group III, claim 15.

Applicant hereby elects to prosecute the claims of Group I with traverse.

The instant application is a §371 National Stage application of International Application No. PCT/EP98/07792. Thus, Unity of Invention (not restriction) practice is applicable in this case (*see*, MPEP § 1893.03(d)). An international application which complies with the unity of invention requirements laid down in PCT Rule 13 must be accepted by all the designated and elected Offices, since PCT Article 27(1) does not allow any national law (as defined in PCT

Article 2(x)) to require compliance with requirements relating to the contents of the international application different from or additional to those provided for in the PCT.

In the instant case, during the International Stage, the International Examiner never indicated that Unity of Invention was lacking. *See*, the International Search Report (attached hereto as **Exhibit A**), which indicates that all claims were searched and indicates that Unity of Invention was not found to be lacking. In particular, on Form PCT/ISA/210 (first sheet), Box 3 was not X'ed.

The PCT Written Opinion (attached hereto as **Exhibit B**) likewise indicates that Unity of Invention was not found to be lacking. In particular, on Form PCT/IPEA/408 (cover sheet), Box IV was not X'ed and in the Written Opinion itself, it is clear that claims 1-17 formed the basis of the International Examiner's opinion.

Furthermore, the International Preliminary Examination Report (attached hereto as **Exhibit C**) indicates that Unity of Invention was not found to be lacking. Box IV on Form PCT/IPEA/409 (cover sheet) was not X'ed and all of the claims were examined.

In light of the fact that Unity of Invention was <u>not</u> found to be lacking in the International Stage, Applicant respectfully submits that it is improper for the National Stage Examiner to now require compliance with requirements different from or additional to those provided for in the PCT.

Nevertheless, if Unity of Invention is still found to be lacking by the National Stage Examiner, MPEP § 803 indicates that if the search and examination of an entire application can be made without serious burden, the Examiner must examine it on the merits, even though it includes claims to independent or distinct inventions. In the instant case, because the claims have already been searched during the International Stage and because the International Examiner has already generated both a Written Opinion and an International Preliminary Examination Report, Applicant respectfully suggests that the search and examination of the entire instant application can be made without serious burden.

Atkinson *et al.* Application No. 09/554,941

Applicant respectfully requests favorable consideration of these remarks and examination of all claims 1-17. If in the opinion of the Examiner, a telephone conference would expedite the prosecution of the subject application, the Examiner is invited to call the undersigned attorney.

Respectfully submitted,

Attorney for Applicants Registration No. 38,241

Syngenta Biotechnology, Inc.

Patent Department P. O. Box 12257

Research Triangle Park, NC 27709-2257

Telephone: 919-541-8587

Date: January 11, 2002